FROUTMAN PEPPER HAMILTON SANDERS LLP

TROUTMAN PEPPER HAMILTON SANDERS LLP 100 SPECTRUM CENTRE DRIVE, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92618-4984

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10 11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

I, Nicholas J. Schuchert, declare as follows:

- I am an attorney with the law firm of Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders LLP, and am counsel of record for Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("Wells Fargo") in this action. I have personal knowledge of the matters in this declaration. If called to testify as a witness, I could and would do so. I make this Declaration pursuant to the Court's Standing Order for Newly Assigned Civil Cases, ¶ H.3.
- 2. As set forth in the accompanying stipulation, Plaintiffs David Hough, et al., filed their initial complaint in this Court on April 9, 2024. ECF No. 1. They filed a first amended complaint ("FAC") on May 20, 2024. ECF No. 56. The FAC named Wells Fargo as a defendant for the first time.
- 3. On July 22, 2024, the Court approved a stipulation between Plaintiffs and Wells Fargo that stayed Wells Fargo's response deadline to the FAC and set Wells Fargo's response deadline to Plaintiffs' anticipated Second Amended Complaint ("SAC") for 30 days after the date of the SAC's filing. ECF No. 98 ("SAC Stipulation").
- 4. On November 27, 2024, this Court granted Plaintiffs' motion for leave to file the SAC. ECF No. 167.
 - 5. On December 4, 2024, Plaintiffs filed their SAC. ECF No. 173.
- 6. Pursuant to L.R. 15-3, the deadline to respond to an amended pleading ordinarily begins running on the date that the Court grants the motion for leave to file the pleading—which in this case, would be November 27, 2024. Plaintiffs' counsel has advised that Plaintiffs believe that L.R. 15-3 governs Wells Fargo's response deadline to the SAC. Under this interpretation, Wells Fargo's response deadline to the SAC would fall on December 27, 2024.
- 7. In Wells Fargo's view, however, the Court-ordered deadline in the SAC Stipulation superseded L.R. 15-3, by setting Wells Fargo's 30-day response deadline to start running on the date the SAC was actually filed. Under this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

interpretation, Wells Fargo's response deadline to the SAC would fall on January 3, 2025.

- 8. Regardless of whose interpretation is correct, both of these deadlines— December 27 and January 3—are equally difficult to satisfy from the perspective of me and my colleagues at my law firm, as well as in-house attorneys employed by my client, due to leave and/or time off, pre-planned vacations, children's school closures around the holiday season, and similar complications. Although we have been working diligently since receipt of the SAC to prepare a response, it is unavoidable that numerous individuals, both at Wells Fargo and at my law firm, would experience significant hardship without a brief continuance of these deadlines.
- 9. Plaintiffs' counsel and Wells Fargo have therefore stipulated that Wells Fargo's deadline to respond to the SAC should be continued to January 17, 2025.
- 10. Other than the SAC Stipulation as set forth above, which the Court approved, Wells Fargo has not requested any other continuances in this matter.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on December 11, 2024 at Irvine, California.

/s/ Nicholas J. Schuchert

Nicholas J. Schuchert

- 3 -